IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.1437 OF 2006
IN
WRIT PETITIONNO. 2361 OF 2002

SMT. SHEHALATA SINGH ... APPLICANT.
[ORIG. RESPDT.NO.1.]

VS.

THE PRINCIPAL D.D.SHINDE
SARKAR COLLEGE AND ORS.' ... RESPONDENTS.

MR. SURESH S. PAKALE FOR THE APPLICANT.
MR. S.R.DATAR FOR RESPONDENT NO. 1 & 2.

.....

CORAM : A.P. DESHPANDE, J.
DATE : January 18, 2007.

....

P.C.

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the applicant/ original respondent. He does not press prayer clause (c) at this stage. Hence, the said prayer is not considered.

2. The applicant/ respondent employee seeks direction against the respondent - Management to deduct the monthly provident fund contribution from the salary of the applicant and remit the same to the office of the Respondent No.3 for crediting the same on account of the applicant. A further direction is sought that the Respondent No.1 should also remit employers contribution for the employee. Another prayer is made seeking direction against the Respondent - Management to issue a duplicate service book to the applicant containing all relevant entries and papers.

3. In all fairness, the learned Counsel for the Respondent does not oppose the said prayers, as an interim arrangement, however, he submits that granting of the above referred prayers would be subject to final adjudication of the writ petition and without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the parties. Thus the prayers which are referred to herein above are granted, without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the parties and subject to final decision of the writ petition.

4. The Civil application stand disposed of accordingly.

5. Final hearing of the petition is expedited.

.....

[A.P.Deshpande, J.]